

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

MICHAEL KALAMI LEE ,

Petitioner,

V.

MELISSA ANDREWSKI, et al.,

## Respondent.

CASE NO. 3:23-cv-05576-BHS-BAT

**ORDER STRIKING MOTIONS TO  
STAY § 2254 PETITION AND FOR  
FURTHER EXTENSION AND  
DIRECTING STATE TO RESPOND  
TO HABEAS PETITION**

Petitioner filed a *pro se* 28 U.S.C. § 2254 petition seeking relief from his 2005 conviction and sentence for Child Rape in the First Degree in Clark County Case No. 05-1-01245-5. On July 5, 2023, Petitioner filed a motion to stay and abey proceedings pending exhaustion of state remedies in the Washington Supreme Court Case Number 102921-4. Dkt. 8. The Court directed service of the habeas petition and directed Respondent to file a response to the motion to stay and abey. Dkt. 11.

On July 21, 2023, Petitioner filed a “Motion Further Exhaustion Completed,” Dkt. 16, and attached a copy of the Washington Supreme Court’s Ruling denying review in Case Number 102041-4 on the grounds the Washington Court of Appeals properly dismissed Petitioner’s personal restraint petition as untimely. Dkt. 17.

ORDER STRIKING MOTIONS TO STAY § 2254 PETITION AND FOR FURTHER EXTENSION AND DIRECTING STATE TO RESPOND TO HABEAS PETITION - 1

1           The Court, having reviewed Petitioner's motions and the record, hereby ORDERS as  
2 follows:

3           (1)     Petitioner avers the Washington Supreme Court has denied review and thus state  
4 proceedings are now final. His motion to stay and abey, Dkt. 8, is STRICKEN as moot.

5           (2)     Petitioner's motion "Further Exhaustion," Dkt. 16, is STRICKEN because  
6 Petitioner avers state proceedings are final.

7           (3)     **By September 15, 2023**, Respondent shall file and serve a response to  
8 Petitioner's 2254 Habeas Petition and serve a copy on Petitioner. The response shall be noted  
9 for the second Friday after filing. Petitioner may file and serve a reply not later than the Monday  
10 immediately preceding the Friday designated for consideration of the matter.

11           (4)     Filing by Parties, Generally

12           All attorneys admitted to practice before this Court are required to file documents  
13 electronically via the Court's CM/ECF system. Because Petitioner is detained in a facility  
14 operated by the Department of Corrections, he must utilize the prison electronic filing system  
15 and procedure. All filings must indicate in the upper right hand corner the name of the  
16 magistrate judge to whom the document is directed.

17           Any document filed with the Court must be accompanied by proof that it has been served  
18 upon all parties that have entered a notice of appearance in the underlying matter.

19           (5)     Motions

20           Any request for court action shall be set forth in a motion, properly filed and served.  
21 Pursuant to LCR 7(b), any argument being offered in support of a motion shall be submitted as a  
22 part of the motion itself and not in a separate document. The motion shall include in its caption  
23 (immediately below the title of the motion) a designation of the date the motion is to be noted for  
ORDER STRIKING MOTIONS TO STAY §  
2254 PETITION AND FOR FURTHER  
EXTENSION AND DIRECTING STATE TO  
RESPOND TO HABEAS PETITION - 2

1 consideration on the Court's motion calendar.

2 (6) Direct Communications with District Judge or Magistrate Judge

3 No direct communication is to take place with the District Judge or Magistrate Judge with  
4 regard to this case. All relevant information and papers are to be directed to the Clerk.

5 DATED this 31<sup>th</sup> day of July, 2023.

6  
7   
8 BRIAN A. TSUCHIDA  
9 United States Magistrate Judge  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23

ORDER STRIKING MOTIONS TO STAY §  
2254 PETITION AND FOR FURTHER  
EXTENSION AND DIRECTING STATE TO  
RESPOND TO HABEAS PETITION - 3